

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,584	04/23/2001	Kazutaka Inukai	SEL 254	3778	
7.	590 06/25/2002				
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO			EXAMINER		
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606			COLEMAN, WILLIAM D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0000,12			2823		
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L->				(hr
Office Action Summary		Application N .	Applicant(s)	
		09/840,584	INUKAI, KAZUTAKA	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	TI. 884.0.110.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.	W. David Coleman	2823	
Period fo	• •			ss
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory paire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply l t. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this community (25.11.50.2420).	unication.
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 April 2001 .		
2a)□		This action is non-final.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice union of Claims	owance except for formal matters der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the m 1, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-77 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-77 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application	on Papers	·		
9) 🗌 🗆	Γhe specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) 🔲 🏻	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ aα	cepted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□ T	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🛛 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[∑	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applic	ation No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a I	riority documents have been rece Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ived in this National Stag	e
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			ication).
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has been r	eceived.	.,.
Attachment(30		
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.	_	Action Summary	Part of Pane	- No. 2

Application/Control Number: 09/840,584

Art Unit: 2823

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-37, drawn to semiconductor device, classified in class 349, subclass 69.
 - II. Claims 38-77, drawn to method of manufacturing a semiconductor, classified in class 438, subclass 149.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product. For example, an optical memory device can be made using the claimed process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I: claims 1-37 and Group II claims 38-77.

Application/Control Number: 09/840,584 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Group I claims 1-9 are generic and Group II, claims 38-60 are generic.

- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2823

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. David Coleman whose telephone number is 703-305-0004. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

W. David Coleman

Examiner Art Unit 2823

WDC June 18, 2002